Supplemental material, Garcia Morales et al., "Labor Force Participation and Hearing Loss Among Adults in the United States: Evidence From the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey," AJA, https://doi.org/10.1044/2022_AJA-21-00266

Supplemental Material S2. Weighted logistic regression model for the association between better-ear PTA and the odds of different labor outcomes, including self-reported hearing perception as a covariate. Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI).

	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
	OR [95% CI]	<i>p</i> - value	OR [95% CI]	<i>p</i> - value	OR [95% CI]	<i>p</i> - value
	Odds ratio for being out of the labor force (Subpopulation observations = 9,963)					
РТА	1.39 [1.30,1.49]	<.001	1.28 [1.18,1.39]	<.001	1.10 [1.02,1.19]	.011
	Odds ratio for being employed conditional on being in the labor force. (Subpopulation observations = 7,486)					
РТА	1.01 [0.89,1.14]	.892	0.93 [0.82,1.07]	.357	0.97 [0.84,1.12]	.673
	Odds ratio for full-time employment conditional on being employed (Subpopulation observations = $6,518$)					
РТА	1.05 [0.95,1.16]	.303	1.09 [0.96,1.23]	.187	1.10 [0.97,1.24]	.138

Note. Goodness of fit tested using the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness of fit test for logistic regression. Model 1 adjusted only for type of hearing loss. Model 2 additionally adjusted for age, age2, sex, and race/ethnicity. Model 3 additionally adjusted for education, marital status, health status, number of people in the household, and study round fixed effects.