Author(s), Year	Label	Criteria for MTD-1	Study groups	Assessment measures	Main results for the inflammatory
		diagnosis		relevant to the	category
				inflammatory category	
Angsuwarangsee	Muscle misuse	"Absence of organic	G1: 141 patients	-GERD status assessed via	TH, CT, and PC tension ratings: significant
& Morrison, 2002	dysphonia	lesion or cause of	with MMD	symptom report, posterior	main effect of group (MMD vs non-MMD)
	(MMD)	dysphonia"	G2: 324 individuals	glottal redness, and	(<i>p</i> < .001)
		"History of vocal	with non-MMD	positive 24-hour pH probe	TH and CT tension ratings: significant main
		misuse or abuse"	(includes other		effect of GERD status (p < .01)
		"Demonstration of	laryngeal/voice	Manual/Palpatory	Age, sex, thyrohyoid tension, and GERD
		typical laryngoscopic	disorders as well as	examination:	status were significant predictors of MMD
		pattern of MMD"	healthy subjects)	tension in suprahyoid (SH),	diagnosis (<i>p</i> = .0016, <i>p</i> = .0000, <i>p</i> = .0003,
				thyrohyoid (TH),	p = .0128, respectively)
				cricothyroid (CT), and	
				inferior pharyngeal	Specific tension pattern most often seen
				constrictor (PC) muscle	in MMD patients was MMD3, which
				groups (0–3 Likert scale)	involves anteroposterior supraglottic
					compression. Subgroup analyses showed
					that significant differences in TH and
					GERD between MMD and non-MMD were
					driven by the MMD3 subgroup. Authors
					speculated that this TH tension is an
					adaptive, protective mechanism against
					GER.
Karkos et al.,	Functional	Dysphonia > 3 months	G1: 22 patients	24-hour dual-probe pH	All results are relative to vocally healthy
2007	dysphonia	No puberphonia, SD, or	with FD	monitoring:	control group unless otherwise specified
	(FD)	visible organic lesions	G2: 6 vocally	-Number of reflux	
			healthy controls	episodes	Inflammatory
				-Number of reflux	Longest reflux episode: significant main
				episodes > 5 minutes	effect of group (<i>p</i> = .039) at pharyngeal
				-Longest reflux episode (s)	probe site while supine; no significant
					main effects of group ($p = .365$) at

Supplemental Material S5. Inflammatory mechanisms: empirical studies.

Author(s), Year	Label	Criteria for MTD-1	Study groups	Assessment measures	Main results for the inflammatory
		diagnosis		relevant to the	category
				inflammatory category	
				-Fraction of time with pH	pharyngeal probe site while upright; no
				< 4 (%)	significant main effects of group (p = .604)
				Health questionnaires	at esophageal probe site
					FD group had significantly longer
				Quality of life:	reflux episodes at pharyngeal
				-Vocal Performance	probe site while supine
				Questionnaire	
				-Harmony I-684 Symptom	Fraction of time pH < 4: significant main
				and Lifestyle	effect of group ($p = .045$) at pharyngeal
				Questionnaire	probe site while supine; no significant
				-General Health	main effects of group $(p = .935)$ at
				Questionnaire-12	pharyngeal probe site while upright; no
					significant main effects of group ($p = .978$)
					at esophageal probe site
					FD group had a significantly
					greater percent time with pH < 4
					at pharyngeal probe site while
					supine
					Number of reflux episodes: no significant
					main effect of group ($p = .764$, pharyngeal;
					p = .460, esophageal) at either probe site
					or in any position
					Number of reflux episodes > 5 minutes: no
					significant main effect of group ($p = .764$,
					pharyngeal; $p = .682$, esophageal) at
					either probe site or in any position
					Quality of Life

Author(s), Year	Label	Criteria for MTD-1	Study groups	Assessment measures	Main results for the inflammatory
		diagnosis		relevant to the	category
				inflammatory category	
					Vocal Performance Questionnaire:
					significant main effect of group (p < .001)
					FD group had significantly lower
					scores (indicative of worse
					performance)
					Harmony I-684: significant main effect of
					group (<i>p</i> < .001)
					 FD group had significantly higher
					scores (indicative of worse
					performance)
					General Health Questionnaire: no
					significant main effect of group (p = .065)
Koufman et al.,	Muscle	Not specified	113 patients with	Prevalence of positive LPR	18 of 23 MTD patients (78%) had
2000	tension		laryngeal/voice	diagnosis, as assessed by	abnormal pH probe findings.
	dysphonia		disorders	24-hour dual pH probe (%)	In the overall sample, 73% of patients who
			Subgroup of MTD		got tested for reflux had an abnormal pH
			patients (<i>n</i> = 23)		probe result (57/78).
					The overall prevalence of LPR in the total
					sample was 50%.
					No statistical analyses were conducted to
					compare different diagnosis groups.
Van Houtte et al.,	Muscle	Palpable tension of the	G1: 14 patients	Acoustic	All results relative to vocally healthy
2012	tension	(para)laryngeal	with MTD	-Jitter (%)	control group unless otherwise specified
	dysphonia	musculature	G2: 14 vocally	-Shimmer (%)	
		History of "vocal	healthy controls	-Dysphonia Severity Index	Acoustic
		abuse/misuse" and		(DSI)	Jitter, shimmer: no significant main effect
		psychologically			of group for jitter (<i>p</i> = .201) or shimmer
		stressful situations		Aerodynamic	(<i>p</i> = .571)
				-Maximum phonation time	
				(MPT, s)	

Author(s), Year	Label	Criteria for MTD-1	Study groups	Assessment measures	Main results for the inflammatory
		diagnosis		relevant to the	category
				inflammatory category	
		Anterior-posterior		-Vital capacity (VC, cm ³)	Dysphonia Severity Index: significant main
		compression, lateral			effect of group (<i>p</i> < .001): MTD group had
		compression		Inflammatory	significantly worse DSI scores
		Dysphonia		-Reflux Severity Index	
				-24-hour dual-channel pH	Aerodynamic
				probe	Maximum phonation time, vital capacity:
					no significant main effect of group for
				Manometric	MPT (<i>p</i> = .241) or VC (<i>p</i> = .605)
				-Upper esophageal	
				sphincter pressure	Inflammatory
					Reflux Severity Index, total score:
				Quality of life	significant main effect of group (p < .001):
				-Dutch VHI-10	MTD group had significantly higher RSI
					scores
				Voice range profile (VRP)	
				-Minimum intensity (dB)	Manometric
				-Maximum intensity (dB)	Upper esophageal sphincter pressure: no
				-Minimum F ₀ (Hz)	significant main effect of group for any
				-Maximum F ₀ (Hz)	phonation condition
				-Habitual F ₀ (Hz)	
					Quality of Life
					Voice Handicap Index-10, total score:
					significant main effect of group (p < .001):
					MTD group had significantly higher VHI-10
					scores
					Voice Range Profile
					Minimum intensity: significant main effect
					of group ($p = .015$): MTD group had
					significantly higher minimum intensity

Author(s), Year	Label	Criteria for MTD-1	Study groups	Assessment measures	Main results for the inflammatory
		diagnosis		relevant to the	category
				inflammatory category	
					Maximum intensity: significant main
					effect of group ($p = .030$): MTD group had
					significantly lower maximum intensity
					Minimum F ₀ : no significant main effect of
					group (<i>p</i> = .675)
					Maximum F ₀ : significant main effect of
					group ($p = .036$): MTD group had
					significantly lower maximum F ₀
					Habitual F ₀ : no significant main effect of
					group for males ($p = .827$) or females
					(<i>p</i> = .761)

Note. MMD = muscle misuse dysphonia; GERD = gastroesophageal reflux disease; SH = suprahyoid; TH = thyrohyoid; CT = cricothyroid; PC = pharyngeal constrictor; FD = functional dysphonia; DSI = Dysphonia Severity Index; VC = vital capacity; VHI = Voice Handicap Index; F_0 = fundamental frequency.