Indicator	Design	Description	n	Valid %
1.1	Both	Describes critical features of context or setting	51	92.7%
2.1	Both	Describes relevant participant demographics	50	90.9%
2.2	Both	Group of interest defined and method of establishing group membership is described	51	92.7%
3.1	Both	Role of intervention agent and relevant characteristics described	47	85.5%
3.2	Both	Describes specific trainings or qualifications of the intervention agent	42	76.4%
4.1	Both	Provides detailed intervention procedures, intervention agent's actions, and/or cites where this information is accessible	52	94.5%
4.2	Both	Describes specific materials used and/or cites where this information is accessible	52	94.5%
5.1	Both	Assesses and reports on implementation fidelity related to adherence to protocols	37	67.3%
5.2	Both	Assesses and reports implementation fidelity related to dosage	31	56.4%
5.3	Both	Implementation fidelity is assessed and reported on regularly and for each interventionist	34	61.8%
6.1	Both	The researcher controls the independent variable	55	100.0%
6.2	Both	Study describes baseline (SCED) or comparisons group (EGC) conditions	48	87.3%
6.3	Both	No or limited access to the intervention by the control/comparison group (EGC) or at baseline (SCED)	53	96.4%
6.4	EGC	Assignment to groups is clearly described	28	82.4%
6.5	SCED	Intervention effects are reported for at least three different time points	18	85.7%
6.6	SCED	Baseline phase includes at least three data points	13	61.9%
6.7	SCED	Controls for threats to internal validity	9	42.9%
6.8	EGC	Low attrition across groups	28	82.4%
6.9	EGC	Low differential attrition	26	76.5%
7.1	Both	Outcomes are socially important	54	98.2%
7.2	Both	Measurement of dependent variables is clearly defined and described	53	96.4%
7.3	Both	Effects of intervention on all measures is reported	46	83.6%
7.4	Both	Frequency and timing of outcome measures appropriate	47	85.5%
7.5	Both	Study provides evidence of reliability	42	76.4%
7.6	EGC	Study provides adequate evidence of validity	29	85.3%
8.1	EGC	Data analysis appropriate for two or more groups	31	91.2%
8.2	SCED	Single subject graph presented	17	81.0%
8.3	ECG	Study reports effect size, or provides raw data for which can be calculated	31	91.2%

Supplemental Material S4. Percentage of studies that addressed each quality indicator.

Note. EGC = experimental group comparison; SCED = single-case experimental design; Both = applies to EGC and SCED studies. Summarized from the Council for Exceptional Children (2014) quality indicators.