С Α Groningen LR Groningen ULR Comparison: other vs 'Blom-Singer LP' Blom-Singer LP Niidam (Random Effects Model) 95%-CI P-Score RR Provox 0.69 [0.19; 2.51] 0.74 Provox [0.09; 6.73] 0.64 Nijdam 0.78 SPVP [0.07; 9.14] Groningen ULR 0.81 0.62 Provox AV 0.96 [0.09; 10.74] 0.57 Blom-Singer LP 1.00 0.56 Provox Provox Vega Provox NID 1.24 0.49 [0.17; 9.07] B Provox AV Provox NID Provox Vega 1.24 [0.17; 9.11] 0.48 Provox 2 1.30 [0.30; 5.58] 0.44 Groningen LR 1.44 [0.30; 7.03] 0.40 Provox SPVP 10.10 [0.71; 144.07] 0.05 0.87 [0.15; 5.03] Nijdam 0.01 0.1 10 100 1 **Devices Replacments** Groningen ULR 0.85 [0.10; 7.52] 0.97 [0.06; 14.77] 0.72 [0.08; 6.30] 0.82 [0.05; 12.39] 0.85 [0.05; 13.09] Provox AV 0.78 [0.09; 6.73] 0.81 [0.07; 9.14] 0.69 [0.19; 2.51] 0.96 [0.09; 10.74] Blom-Singer LP 0.55 [0.05; 5.91] 0.63 [0.03; 11.77] 0.65 [0.03; 14.94] 0.77 [0.03; 17.57] 0.80 [0.11; 5.86] Provox NID 0.63 [0.06; 6.60] 0.65 [0.06; 6.99] 0.77 [0.07; 8.21] 0.81 [0.11; 5.92] 1.00 [0.06; 16.74] 0.55 [0.10; 2.99] Provox Vega 0.53 [0.19; 1.42] 0.60 [0.09; 4.05] 0.62 [0.09; 4.32] 0.73 [0.11; 5.07] 0.77 [0.18; 3.28] 0.95 [0.08; 11.19] 0.95 [0.24; 3.73] Provox 2 0.47 [0.17; 1.33] 0.54 [0.09; 3.12] 0.56 [0.06; 5.25] 0.66 [0.07; 6.17] 0.69 [0.14; 3.38] 0.86 [0.07; 10.95] 0.86 [0.15; 5.00] 0.90 [0.30; 2.75] Groningen LR 0.07 [0.01; 0.72] 0.08 [0.00; 1.22] 0.08 [0.00; 1.76] 0.09 [0.00; 2.07] 0.10 [0.01; 1.41] 0.12 [0.00; 3.40] 0.12 [0.01; 1.96] 0.13 [0.01; 1.43] 0.14 [0.02; 1.21] SPVP

Supplemental Figure S3. Network meta-analysis for Replacements frequency of each device.