## Supplemental Material S2. Sample fidelity checklist for peer behaviors.

Did the peers			
Pause, look expectantly to	Yes □	No □	<b>N</b> / <b>A</b> □
encourage initiations?			
Prompt the student to initiate if	Yes □	No □	$NA \square$
the student does not initiate			
spontaneously?			
Make leading statements to elicit	$\mathbf{Yes} \Box$	No □	$NA \square$
follow-up questions?			
Keep the conversation going?	Yes □	No □	$NA \square$
Sharing information, asking			
questions, and taking turns (at			
least 5 exchanges per episode).			
Help their friend respond if there	Yes □	No □	NA 🗆
was a communication			
breakdown?			
Move on/Redirect, as	Yes □	No □	$NA \square$
appropriate?			

Score Yes if the peer behavior was adequate to produce target outcomes, No if use of the peer behavior was inadequate or incorrect, and Nam if the particular peer behavior or strategy was not needed.

## **Feedback to Peers**

Something the peers did well was	
Something that the peers could improve on was	

Note: Clinicians should set their own criterion for scoring "yes," "no," or "NA." Peers will miss opportunities, but the question to evaluate is are they using strategies adequately enough to produce target outcomes set for the student.