Coding Form EBP PID 404

E Codebook 💌

E Data Dictionary Codebook

06/10/2020 11:41am

	▲ Collapse all instrume		
#	Variable / Field Name	Field Label Field Note	Field Attributes (Field Type, Validation, Choices, Calculations, etc.)
Instrum	ent: Report Characteris	tics (report_characteristics)	▲ Collapse
1	record_id	Record ID	text
2	title	Title of Article	text, Required
3	author	Authors	text, Required
4	pub_year	Year Published	text, Required
5	peer_reviewed	Peer Reviewed If unable to determine, mark NO	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
6	source_type	Source Type	radio, Required
		add the "other" source in the "additional information" field (end of this section)	1 peer reviewed journal article
		section	2 open source journal article
			3 systematic review
			4 meta-analysis
			5 conference paper/presentation
			6 private report
			7 government report
			8 dissertation
			9 thesis
			10 book/chapter
			11 other
7	study_design	Study Design	radio, Required
	,	add the "other" source in the "additional information" field (end of this section)	1 experimental
		section	2 quasi-experimental
			3 single subject
			4 case study
			5 other
8	production	Organization that produced the report?	radio, Required
		add the "other" source in the "additional information" field (end of this section)	1 university
			2 government agency
			3 contract research firm
			4 other
9	funding_source	Research conducted using funds from a grant or other	radio, Required
	-	sponsor?	1 Yes
			2 No
			3 Not identified/can't tell
10	funding_branch	Who provided the funds?	text
	Show the field ONLY if: [funding_source] = '1'		

0/2020	2020 Coding Form EBP REDCap			
	11	additional_clarifying	Additional Information be sure to include section of information (e.g., study design)	text
	12	report_characteristics_compl ete	Section Header: Form Status Complete?	dropdown 0 Incomplete 1 Unverified 2 Complete
Instr	ume	nt: Participant Character	istics (participant_characteristics)	▲ Collapse
	13	control_y_n	Was a control/comparison group used in the study?	radio, Required 1 Yes 2 No 3 Unknown
	14	sample_size	What is the total sample size?	text (number), Required
	15	samplesize2 Show the field ONLY if: [control_y_n] = '1'	What was the sample size of each group?	text
	16	disability	Which labels best match those applied to the study sample?	checkbox, Required1disability1specific language impairment (SLI)2disability2learning disabled (LD)3disability3at-risk4disability4average/typically developing5disability5mental-age matched6disability6high achieving
	17	language_used	Primary Language of Participants (e.g., English)	text, Required
	18	diagnoses	Participants included because of learning or language disability status?	radio, Required 1 Yes 2 No 3 Unclear
	19	diagnosis_categories Show the field ONLY if: [diagnoses] = '1'	Diagnoses	checkbox, Required1diagnosis_categories1language disability/impairment (SLI)2diagnosis_categories2learning disability/impairment (LD)3diagnosis_categories3at-risk (sub-clinical)4diagnosis_categories3at-risk (sub-clinical)5diagnosis_categories5Multiple Impairments (MU)6diagnosis_categories6Mildly cognitively impaired (MCI)
	20	intervention	What types of intervention were participants receiving (or had they previously been receiving)?	checkbox, Required1intervention1speech (private or school- based)2intervention2special education (resource, IEP for academics)3intervention3behavioral4intervention4tutoring5intervention5not stated/unclear6intervention6other

21	Show the field ONLY if: [control_y_n] = '1'	Were control participants matched for performance in language and learning or typically developing/average? If participants in study were delayed/impaired in learning and/or language, which areas were affected?	radio, Required 1 performance level match 2 chronological age match with average peers 3 mental age match 4 unclear checkbox, Required 1 1 dis_type1 receptive language 2 2 dis_type2 3 dis_type3 1 dis_type4 2 dis_type5 3 dis_type6 4 dis_type6 2 dis_type6
23	ses	What was the SES of students in the sample?	7 dis_type7 academic performance 8 dis_type8 articulation/motor radio, Required
			1 How SES 2 low-middle SES 3 middle-high SES 4 middle-high SES 5 high SES 6 labeled as mixed 7 not identified
24	age	What were the ages (in years) for the students in the sample?	1 age1 < 9
25	school_level	What school-level were the participants enrolled in?	radio, Required 1 pre-K 2 elementary 3 junior high or middle school 4 high school 5 college +
26	sex	What sexes were represented in the samples?	checkbox, Required 1 sex1 Male 2 sex2 Female 3 sex3 Unidentified
27	sex2 Show the field ONLY if: [sex(1)] = '1' and [sex(2)] = '1'	Were the sexes equally represented in the sample? describe percentage of each sex or other as identified in study	text, Required

10/202				·
	28	race_ethnicity	Which races/ethnicities were represented in the sample?	checkbox, Required
				1 race_ethnicity1 Caucasian
				2 race_ethnicity2 African American/black
				3 race_ethnicity3 Hispanic/Latino
				4 race_ethnicity4 Pacific Islander
				5 race_ethnicity5 Native American
				6 race_ethnicity6 Asian
				7 race_ethnicity7 Multiracial
				8 race_ethnicity8 Unknown/unclear
	29	participant_characteristics_co	Section Header: Form Status	dropdown
		mplete	Complete?	0 Incomplete
				1 Unverified
				2 Complete
Ins	trume	nt: Intervention Characte	eristics (intervention_characteristics)	▲ Collapse
	30	interventionname	Name of intervention	text, Required
	31	interventiondescription	Brief description of intervention	text, Required
	32	intervention_focus	Which of the following characteristics were a focus of the	checkbox
			discourse intervention?	1 intervention_focus1 reading comprehension
				2 intervention_focus2 listening comprehension
				3 intervention_focus3 oral production
				4 intervention_focus4 written production
				5 intervention_focus5 unknown
	33	discourse_type	Which discourse genre is targeted in the intervention?	checkbox, Required
				1 discourse_type1 narrative
				2 discourse_type2 expository
				3 discourse_type3 unknown
				4 discourse_type4 other
	34	intervention_goal	What was the primary goal of the intervention?	radio
		Show the field ONLY if:		1 narrative comprehension
		[discourse_type(1)] = '1' or [di		2 expository comprehension
		scourse_type(2)] = '1'		3 narrative production
				4 expository production
				5 oral language comprehension
				6 oral language production
	35	intervention_length	Total length of intervention and number of sessions? (e.g.,	text, Required
			12 sessions over 4 weeks) INCLUDE number of total sessions administered; weeks, months, years	
	36	session_time	How long was each intervention session?	text, Required
	37	session_type	Type of session	radio, Required
				1 one-on-one
				2 pairs or small group
				3 group
				4 whole class
				5 unknown/unclear

38	intervention_admin	Who administered the intervention?	radio, Required 1 SLP 2 researcher 3 RA 4 special education teacher 5 classroom teacher 6 unknown
39	progress_monitor	Was progress-monitoring used throughout the intervention?	radio, Required 1 Yes 2 No 3 Unknown
40	progress_monitor_2 Show the field ONLY if: [progress_monitor] = '1'	What type of progress monitoring tool was used?	radio, Required 1 standardized 2 informal 3 researcher-developed protocol 4 probe 5 other
41	fidelity	Were fidelity measures used?	radio, Required 1 Yes 2 No 3 Unknown
42	fidelity_percentage Show the field ONLY if: [fidelity] = '1'	What percentage of fidelity was reached?	text, Required
43	intervention_group	Was there evidence that the intervention group might have also experienced a changed expectancy, novelty and/or disruption effect that the control group did not also experience?	radio, Required 1 Yes 2 No 3 Unknown
44	control_yes_no	Was a control/comparison group included?	radio, Required 1 Yes 2 No 3 Unknown
45	control_tx Show the field ONLY if: [control_yes_no] = '1'	How were control groups treated?	radio, Required1business-as-usual2compensating activity3alternative intervention4delayed intervention
46	school Show the field ONLY if: [control_yes_no] = '1'	Were intervention and control groups drawn from the same school?	radio, Required 1 Yes 2 No 3 Unknown
47	tx_classrooms Show the field ONLY if: [school] = '1'	Were intervention and control groups drawn from the same grade?	radio, Required 1 Yes 2 No 3 Unknown

	48	blinded1 Show the field ONLY if: [tx_classrooms] = '1'	Did participants, parents or teacher know who was in which condition?	radio, Required 1 Yes 2 No 3 Unknown
	49	intervention_characteristics_c omplete	Section Header: Form Status Complete?	dropdown 0 Incomplete 1 Unverified 2 Complete
Inst	trume	nt: Setting Characteristic	S (setting_characteristics)	▲ Collapse
	50	location	Where were participants? (e.g., USA, Denmark)	text, Required
	51	location2	If in United States, what states was the study conducted in? (use state abbreviations or geographic area like "southwest")	text, Required
	52	location3	What type of community was the study conducted in?	radio, Required 1 urban 2 suburban 3 inner-city 4 rural 5 unknown
	53	school_setting1	Was the intervention completed in a school setting?	radio, Required 1 Yes 2 No 3 Unclear/unknown
	54	school_setting_2 Show the field ONLY if: [school_setting1] = '1'	What type of school(s) was the study conducted in?	radio, Required 1 public school 2 private school (secular) 3 private school (non-secular) 4 charter school 5 Montessori 6 unknown
	55	setting2 Show the field ONLY if: [school_setting1] = '2'	In what setting was intervention completed?	radio, Required 1 university lab 2 clinic (university) 3 clinic (private) 4 home 5 mixed location 6 unknown/unclear
	56	classroom_setting Show the field ONLY if: [school_setting1] = '1'	What classroom types were represented among the settings?	checkbox, Required 1 classroom_setting1 2 classroom_setting2 2 classroom_setting2
				2 classroom_setting2 poetal classroom 3 classroom_setting3 speech-language pathologist office
				4 classroom_setting4 small-group setting 5 classroom_setting5 unknown/unclear

Ins	57 trume 58	setting_characteristics_compl ete ent: Outcome Measure (o outcome_measure	Section Header: Form Status Complete? utcome_measure) What aspect of language did this outcome measure?	dropdown 0 Incomplete 1 Unverified 2 Complete 1 Unverified 2 Complete Complete Collapse Collapse Collapse 1 outcome_measure1 1 outcome_measure2 2 outcome_measure2 3 outcome_measure3 3 outcome_measure4 4 outcome_measure5 5 outcome_measure5
				 6 outcome_measure6 working memory 7 outcome_measure7 online processing 8 outcome_measure8 unknown
	59	outcome_measure2	What types of outcome measure were included?	checkbox1outcome_measure21norm-referenced2outcome_measure22checklist3outcome_measure23rubric-based4outcome_measure24class grades5outcome_measure25overall performance rating6outcome_measure26progress monitoring
	60	outcomevalidity	Has the assessment tool been previously shown to be both reliable and valid?	radio, Required 1 Yes 2 No 3 Unknown
	61	outcomecriterion1	Was evidence presented regarding whether the VALIDITY of this outcome measure reached an acceptable criterion?	radio, Required 1 Yes 2 No 3 Unknown/unclear
	62	outcomecriterion2	Was evidence presented regarding whether the RELIABILITY of this outcome measure reached an acceptable criterion?	radio, Required 1 Yes 2 No 3 Unknown/unclear
	63	rater1	Was a interrater reliability threshold set?	radio, Required 1 yes 2 no 3 unknown
	64	interrater Show the field ONLY if: [rater1] = '1'	What threshold of inter-rater reliability was set?	text
	65	threshold	What percent interrater reliability was met?	text
	66	outcomeadmin	How many days after the intervention was the outcome measure administered?	text, Required
	67	pre_post	Was the outcome measured pre and post intervention? (pre/post-testing)	radio, Required 1 Yes 2 No 3 Unknown/unclear

68	followup	Was there a follow-up measurement?	radio, Required 1 Yes 2 No 3 Unknown/unclear
69	followup2 Show the field ONLY if: [followup] = '1'	How long after treatment was follow-up outcome administered?	text, Required
70	stat1	Were results reported?	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
71	stat2 Show the field ONLY if: [stat1] = '1'	What type of analyses were reported?	radio, Required1ANOVA2t-test3Tau-U4Linear Regression5Multiple Regression6Hierarchical Regression7Multi-Level Modeling8Structural Equation Modeling9Step-Wise Regression10Random Forests11Visual Analysis12Descriptive statistics13Other
72	effectsize1	Were effect sizes reported?	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
73	effectsize_type Show the field ONLY if: [effectsize1] = '1'	What type of effect size measure is reported?	radio 1 cohen's d 2 pearson r 3 hedges' g 4 glass 5 eta-squared (or partial) 6 omega-squared (or partial) 7 tau-U 8 other
74	effectsize2 Show the field ONLY if: [effectsize1] = '1'	What was the reported effect size?	text, Required
75	significance1	Were reported effects significant/was the null hypothesis rejected?	radio, Required 1 yes 2 no 3 unknown
76	causality Show the field ONLY if: [significance1] = '1'	Do authors claim causality of the intervention on the outcome?	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No

77	causality2 Show the field ONLY if: [causality] = '1'	Does cause precede outcome? (Is there temporal precedence)	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
78	causality3 Show the field ONLY if: [causality] = '1'	Any other explanations for outcome?	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
79	causality4 Show the field ONLY if: [causality3] = '1'	What are the other explanations for the outcome?	text, Required
80	outcome_measure_complete	Section Header: Form Status Complete?	dropdown 0 Incomplete 1 Unverified 2 Complete
Instrun	nent: CEC Quality Scale (ced	:_quality_scale)	▲ Collapse
81	cec1_0	1.0. Context and setting. The study provides sufficient information regarding the critical features of the context or setting.	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
82	cec1_0_2	1.1. The study describes critical features of the context or setting relevant to the review; for example, type of program or classroom, type of school (e.g., public, private, charter, preschool), curriculum, geographic location, community setting, socioeconomic status, physical layout. (comment)	text, Required
83	cec2_0	2.0. Participants. The study provides sufficient information to identify the population of participants to which results may be generalized and to determine or confirm whether the participants demonstrated the disability or difficulty of focus.	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
84	cec2_1	2.1. The study describes participant demographics relevant to the review (e.g., gender, age/grade, race/ethnicity, socioeconomic status, language status). (comment)	text, Required
85	cec2_2	2.2. The study describes disability or risk status of the participants (e.g., specific learning disability, autism spectrum disorder, behavior problem, at risk for reading failure) and method for determining status (e.g., identified by school using state IDEA criteria, teacher nomination, standardized intelligence test, curriculum-based measurement probes, rating scale). (comment)	text, Required
86	cec3_0	3.0. Intervention agent. The study provides sufficient information regarding the critical features of the intervention agent.	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
87	cec3_1	3.1. The study describes the role of the intervention agent (e.g., teacher, researcher, paraprofessional, parent, volunteer, peer tutor, sibling, technological device/computer) and, as relevant to the review, background variables (e.g., race/ethnicity, educational background/licensure). (comment)	text, Required
88	cec3_2	3.2. The study describes any specific training (e.g., amount of training, training to a criterion) or qualifications (e.g., professional credential) required to implement the intervention, and indicates that the interventionist has achieved them. (comment)	text, Required

0/2020			
89	cec4_1	4.1. The study describes detailed intervention procedures (e.g., intervention components, instructional behaviors, critical or active elements, manualized or scripted procedures, dosage) and intervention agents' actions (e.g., prompts, verbalizations, physical behaviors, proximity), or cites one or more accessible sources that provide this information. (comment)	text, Required
90	cec4_0	4.0. Description of practice. The study provides sufficient information regarding the critical features of the practice (intervention), such that the practice is clearly understood and can be reasonably replicated.	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
91	cec4_2	4.2. When relevant, the study describes materials (e.g., manipulatives, worksheets, timers, cues, toys), or cites one or more accessible sources providing this information.	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
92	cec5_0	5.0. Implementation fidelity. The practice is implemented with fidelity.	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
93	cec5_1	5.1. The study assesses and reports implementation fidelity related to adherence using direct, reliable measures (e.g., observations using a checklist of critical elements of the practice). (comment)	text, Required
94	cec5_2	5.2. The study assesses and reports implementation fidelity related to dosage or exposure using direct, reliable measures (e.g., observations or self-report of the duration, frequency, curriculum coverage of implementation). (comment)	text, Required
95	cec5_3	5.3. As appropriate, the study assesses and reports implementation fidelity (a) regularly throughout implementation of the intervention (e.g., beginning, middle, end of the intervention period), and (b) for each interventionist, each setting, and each participant or other unit of analysis. If either adherence or dosage is assessed and reported, this item applies to the type of fidelity assessed. If neither adherence nor dosage is assessed and reported, this item is not applicable. (comment)	text, Required
96	cec6_0	6.0. Internal validity. The independent variable is under the control of experimenter. The study describes the services provided in control and comparison conditions and phases. The research design provides sufficient evidence that the independent variable causes change in the dependent variable or variables. Participants stayed with the study, so attrition is not a significant threat to internal validity.	radio, Required 1 Yes to all 2 Yes to some 3 No to all 4 Unknown
97	cec6_1	6.1. The researcher controls and systematically manipulates the independent variable. (comment)	text, Required
98	cec6_2	6.2. The study describes baseline (single-subject studies) or control/comparison (group comparison studies) conditions, such as the curriculum, instruction, and interventions (e.g., definition, duration, length, frequency, learner: instructor ratio). (comment)	text, Required
99	cec6_3	6.3. Control/comparison-condition or baseline-condition participants have no or extremely limited access to the treatment intervention. (comment)	text, Required

100	cec6_4	 6.4. The study clearly describes assignment to groups, which involves participants (or classrooms, schools, or other unit of analysis) being assigned to groups in one of the following ways: (a) randomly; (b) nonrandomly, but the comparison groups are matched very closely to the intervention group (e.g., matched on prior test scores, demographics, a propensity score; see Song & Herman, 2010); (c) nonrandomly, but techniques are used to measure differences and, if meaningful differences are identifiedfor example, statistically significant difference, difference greater than 5% of a standard deviation (What Works Clearinghouse, 2011)-to statistically control for any differences between groups on relevant pretest scores or demographic characteristics (e.g., statistically adjust for confounding variable through techniques such as ANCOVA or propensity score analysis); or (d) nonrandomly on the basis of a reasonable cutoff point (regression discontinuity design). (comment) 	text, Required
101	cec6_5	6.5. The design provides at least three demonstrations of experimental effects at three different times. (comment)	text, Required
102	cec6_6	6.6. For single-subject research designs with a baseline phase (alternating treatment designs do not require a baseline), all baseline phases include at least three data points (except when fewer are justified by study author due to reasons such as measuring severe or dangerous problem behaviors and zero baseline behaviors with no likelihood of improvement without intervention) and establish a pattern that predicts undesirable future performance (e.g., increasing trend in problem behavior, consistently infrequent exhibition of appropriate behavior, highly variable behavior). (comment)	text, Required
103	cec6_7	6.7. The design controls for common threats to internal validity (e.g., ambiguous temporal precedence, history, maturation, diffusion) so plausible, alternative explanations for findings can be reasonably ruled out. Commonly accepted designs such as reversal (ABAB), multiple-baseline, changing criterion, and alternating treatment address this quality indicator when properly designed and executed, although other approaches can be accepted if study authors justify how they ruled out alternative explanations for findings or control for common threats to internal validity. (comment)	text, Required
104	cec6_8	6.8. Overall attrition is low across groups (e.g., < 30% in a 1-year study). (comment)	text, Required
105	cec6_9	6.9. Differential attrition (between groups) is low (e.g., ≤10%) or is controlled for by adjusting for noncompleters (e.g., conducting intent-to-treat analysis). (comment)	text, Required
106	cec7_0	7.0. Outcome measures/dependent variables. Outcome measures are applied appropriately to gauge the effect of the practice on study outcomes. Outcome measures demonstrate adequate psychometrics.	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
107	cec7_1	7.1. Outcomes are socially important (e.g., they constitute or are theoretically or empirically linked to improved quality of life, an important developmental/learning outcome, or both). (comment)	text, Required
108	cec7_2	7.2. The study clearly defines and describes measurement of the dependent variables.	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
109	cec7_3	7.3. The study reports the effects of the intervention on all measures of the outcome targeted by the review (p levels and effect sizes or data from which effect sizes can be calculated for group comparison studies; graphed data for single-subject studies), not just those for which a positive effect is found.	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No

		0 1 1	
110	cec7_4	7.4. Frequency and timing of outcome measures are appropriate. For most single-subject studies, a minimum of three data points per phase is necessary if a given phase is to be considered as part of a possible demonstration of experimental effect (except when fewer are justified by study author due to reasons such as measuring severe or dangerous problem behaviors and zero baseline behaviors with no likelihood of improvement without intervention). For alternating treatment designs, at least four repetitions of the alternating sequence are required (e.g., ABABABAB; see Kratochwill et al., 2013).	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
111	cec7_5	7.5. The study provides evidence of adequate internal reliability, interobserver reliability, test-retest reliability, or parallel-form reliability, as relevant (e.g., score reliability coefficient \geq .80, interobserver agreement \geq 80%, kappa \geq 60%).	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
112	cec7_6	7.6. The study provides adequate evidence of validity, such as content, construct, criterion (concurrent or predictive), or social validity.	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
113	cec8_0	8.0. Data Analysis. Data analysis is conducted appropriately. The study reports information on effect size.	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
114	cec8_1 Show the field ONLY if: [cec8_0] = '1'	8.1. Data analysis techniques are appropriate for comparing change in performance of two or more groups (e.g., t tests, ANOVAs/MANOVAs, ANCOVAs/MANCOVAs, hierarchical linear modeling, structural equation modeling). If atypical procedures are used, the study provides a rationale justifying the data analysis techniques.	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
115	cec8_2	8.2. The study provides a single-subject graph clearly representing outcome data across all study phases for each unit of analysis (e.g., individual, classroom, other group of individuals) to enable determination of the effects of the practice. Regardless of whether the study report includes visual or other analyses of data, graphs depicting all relevant dependent variables targeted by the review should be clear enough for reviewers to draw basic conclusions about experimental control using traditional visual analysis techniques (i.e., analysis of mean, level, trend, overlap, consistency of data patterns across phases).	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
116	cec8_3	8.3. The study reports one or more appropriate effect size statistic (e.g., Cohen's d, Hedge's G, Glass's Δ , \Box 2) for all outcomes relevant to the review being conducted, even if the outcome is not statistically significant, or provides data from which appropriate effect sizes can be calculated. (comment)	text, Required
117	cec_quality_scale_complete	Section Header: Form Status Complete?	dropdown 0 Incomplete 1 Unverified 2 Complete
Instrume	ent: Coder/Coding Quality	Characteristics (codercoding_quality_characteristics)	▲ Collapse
118	name	Coder Name	text, Required
119	date	Date coded	text (date_dmy), Required
120	timecode	Time to code (minutes)	text, Required
121	codercoding_quality_characte ristics_complete	Section Header: Form Status Complete?	dropdown 0 Incomplete 1 Unverified 2 Complete