

Supplemental Material S3. COREQ Checklist.

| Item | Guide questions/description | Location |
|--|---|--------------------------|
| Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity | | |
| 1. Interviewer/facilitator | Which author/s conducted the interview? | Page 3055 |
| 2. Credentials | What were the researcher's credentials? | Supplemental Material S2 |
| 3. Occupation | What was their occupation at the time of the study? | Supplemental Material S2 |
| 4. Gender | Was the researcher male or female? | Supplemental Material S2 |
| 5. Experience and training | What experience or training did the researcher have? | Supplemental Material S2 |
| 6. Relationship with participants established | Was a relationship established prior to study commencement? | Supplemental Material S2 |
| 7. Participant knowledge of the interviewer | What did the participants know about the researcher? | Supplemental Material S2 |
| 8. Interviewer characteristics | What characteristics were reported about the interviewer/facilitator? | Supplemental Material S2 |
| Domain 2: Study design | | |
| 9. Methodological orientation and theory | What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? | Page 3055 |
| 10. Sampling | How were participants selected? | Pages 3053–3055 |
| 11. Method of approach | How were participants approached? | Pages 3053–3055 |
| 12. Sample size | How many participants were in the study? | Pages 3053–3055 |
| 13. Non-participation | How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons? | N/A |
| 14. Setting of data collection | Where was the data collected? | Page 3055 |
| 15. Presence of non-participants | Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers? | N/A |
| 16. Description of sample | What are the important characteristics of the sample? | Table 1 |
| 17. Interview guide | Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? | Appendix |
| 18. Repeat interviews | Were repeat interviews carried out? | N/A |
| 19. Audio and visual recording | Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? | Page 3055 |
| 20. Field notes | Were field notes made during and/or after the interview? | Page 3055 |
| 21. Discussion | What was the duration of the interviews? | Page 3055 |
| 22. Data saturation | Was data saturation discussed? | Pages 3055–3056 |

| | | |
|------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| 23. Transcripts returned | Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or correction? | Page 3056 |
| Domain 3: Analysis and findings | | |
| 24. Number of data coders | How many data coders coded the data? | Page 3055 |
| 25. Description of the coding tree | Did authors provide a description of the coding tree? | Supplemental Material S1, Table 2 |
| 26. Derivation of themes | Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data? | Pages 3055–3056 |
| 27. Software | What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data? | Page 3055 |
| 28. Participant checking | Did participants provide feedback on the findings? | Page 3056 |
| 29. Quotations presented | Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings? Was each quotation identified? | Pages 3057–3060 |
| 30. Data and findings consistent | Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings? | Pages 3057–3060 |
| 31. Clarity of major themes | Were major themes clearly presented in the findings? | Pages 3057–3060, Table 2 |
| 32. Clarity of minor themes | Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes? | Pages 3057–3060 |

Note. The COREQ Checklist is from Tong, A., Sainsbury, P., & Craig, J. (2007). Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): A 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal of Quality in Health Care*, 19(6), 349-357. <https://doi.org/10.1093/intqhc/mzm042>