

**Supplemental Material S7.** Effect sizes (Pearson's correlation coefficients) representing relationship between dynamic assessments of word reading skills and word reading outcome measures.

Study	<i>N</i>	<i>r</i>	Design	Dynamic Assessment Skill	Word Reading Measure Skill	Word Reading Measure Type	Word Reading Measure Name
Aravena et al., 2013	42	0.52	C	Dec	Real Word Rate	NR	One Minute Test
Aravena et al., 2018	71	0.015	C	SSK	Real Word Accuracy	NR	3DM
Aravena et al., 2018	71	0.075	C	SSK	Real Word Rate	NR	3DM
Aravena et al., 2018	71	0.237	C	SSK	Real Word Accuracy	NR	3DM
Aravena et al., 2018	71	0.147	C	SSK	Real Word Rate	NR	3DM
Aravena et al., 2018	71	0.214	C	Dec	Real Word Accuracy	NR	3DM
Aravena et al., 2018	71	0.392	C	Dec	Real Word Rate	NR	3DM
Barker & Saunders, 2020	27	0.47	C	SSK	Nonword Accuracy	NR	WRMT-III
Barker & Saunders, 2020	27	0.63	C	SSK	Real Word Accuracy	NR	WRMT-III
Caffrey, 2006, Study 1	25	0.706	L	Dec	Real Word Accuracy	NR	WRAT
Caffrey, 2006, Study 1	25	0.585	L	Dec	Nonword Accuracy	NR	WRAT
Caffrey, 2006, Study 1	25	0.624	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	NR	WRAT
Caffrey, 2006, Study 2	95	0.765	L	Dec	Real Word Accuracy	NR	WRAT
Caffrey, 2006, Study 2	95	0.613	L	Dec	Nonword Accuracy	NR	WRAT
Caffrey, 2006, Study 2	95	0.745	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	NR	WRAT
Cho & Compton, 2015	112	0.24	C	SSK	Nonword Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R/NU
Cho & Compton, 2015	112	0.5	C	SSK	Nonword Rate	NR	TOWRE
Cho & Compton, 2015	112	0.64	C	SSK	Real Word Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R/NU
Cho & Compton, 2015	112	0.24	C	SSK	Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE
Cho & Compton, 2015	112	0.4	C	Dec	Nonword Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R/NU
Cho & Compton, 2015	112	0.51	C	Dec	Nonword Rate	NR	TOWRE
Cho & Compton, 2015	112	0.23	C	Dec	Real Word Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R/NU
Cho & Compton, 2015	112	0.43	C	Dec	Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE
Cho & Compton, 2015	112	0.54	C	Dec	Nonword Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R/NU
Cho & Compton, 2015	112	0.14	C	Dec	Nonword Rate	NR	TOWRE
Cho & Compton, 2015	112	0.32	C	Dec	Real Word Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R/NU
Cho & Compton, 2015	112	0.41	C	Dec	Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE
Cho et al., 2014	134	0.69	C	Dec	Nonword Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R/NU
Cho et al., 2014	134	0.58	C	Dec	Nonword Rate	NR	TOWRE
Cho et al., 2017	105	0.22	C	SSK	Real Word Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R/NU
Cho et al., 2017	105	0.43	C	SSK	Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE
Cho et al., 2017	105	0.55	C	SSK	Nonword Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R/NU
Cho et al., 2017	105	0.22	C	SSK	Nonword Rate	NR	TOWRE
Cho et al., 2017	105	0.42	C	Dec	Real Word Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R/NU
Cho et al., 2017	105	0.47	C	Dec	Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE
Cho et al., 2017	105	0.24	C	Dec	Nonword Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R/NU
Cho et al., 2017	105	0.31	C	Dec	Nonword Rate	NR	TOWRE

Cho et al., 2017	105	0.38	C	Dec	Real Word Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R/NU
Cho et al., 2017	105	0.19	C	Dec	Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE
Cho et al., 2017	105	0.39	C	Dec	Nonword Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R/NU
Cho et al., 2017	105	0.43	C	Dec	Nonword Rate	NR	TOWRE
Cho et al., 2017	105	0.21	L	SSK	Real Word Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R/NU
Cho et al., 2017	105	0.24	L	SSK	Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE
Cho et al., 2017	105	0.43	L	SSK	Nonword Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R/NU
Cho et al., 2017	105	0.22	L	SSK	Nonword Rate	NR	TOWRE
Cho et al., 2017	105	0.45	L	Dec	Real Word Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R/NU
Cho et al., 2017	105	0.46	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE
Cho et al., 2017	105	0.1	L	Dec	Nonword Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R/NU
Cho et al., 2017	105	0.24	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	NR	TOWRE
Cho et al., 2017	105	0.27	L	Dec	Real Word Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R/NU
Cho et al., 2017	105	0.11	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE
Cho et al., 2017	105	0.34	L	Dec	Nonword Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R/NU
Cho et al., 2017	105	0.32	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	NR	TOWRE
Chow, 2014	121	0.22	C	SSK	Real Word Accuracy	NR	HKT-SpLD
Chow, 2014	121	0.28	C	SSK	Real Word Accuracy	RD	NA
Clayton et al., 2018	97	0.05	C	SSK	Real Word Accuracy	NR	SWRT6-16
Clayton et al., 2018	97	0.22	C	SSK	Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE
Clayton et al., 2018	97	0.12	C	SSK	Nonword Rate	NR	TOWRE
Clayton et al., 2018	97	0.29	C	SSK	Real Word Accuracy	NR	SWRT
Clayton et al., 2018	97	0.1	C	SSK	Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE
Clayton et al., 2018	97	0.26	C	SSK	Nonword Rate	NR	TOWRE
Compton et al., 2010	355	0.69	L	Dec	Composite-Real Word Accuracy, Real Word Rate, Nonword Accuracy, Nonword Rate, Reading Comprehension	NR	TOWRE, WMRT-R/NU
Coventry et al., 2011	1988	0.423	C	PA	Real Word Accuracy, Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE
Cunningham & Carroll, 2011	45	0.67	C	PA	Real Word Accuracy	NR	BAS-2
Cunningham & Carroll, 2011	45	0.77	L	PA	Real Word Accuracy	NR	BAS-2
Edwards, 2020	312	0.5	C	Dec	Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE
Edwards, 2020	312	0.64	C	Dec	Nonword Rate	NR	TOWRE
Edwards, 2020	312	0.46	C	Dec	Real Word Accuracy	NR	WJ-III
Edwards, 2020	312	0.6	C	Dec	Nonword Accuracy	NR	WJ-III
Edwards, 2020	312	0.53	C	Dec	Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE
Edwards, 2020	312	0.61	C	Dec	Nonword Rate	NR	TOWRE
Edwards, 2020	312	0.47	C	Dec	Real Word Accuracy	NR	WJ-III
Edwards, 2020	312	0.55	C	Dec	Nonword Accuracy	NR	WJ-III
Fuchs et al., 2011	318	0.72	L	Dec	Real Word Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R/NU
Fuchs et al., 2011	318	0.61	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE

<b>Gan et al., 2022</b>	135	0.68	C	Dec	Real Word Accuracy	RD	Character Recognition Task
<b>Gan et al., 2022</b>	135	0.7	L	Dec	Real Word Accuracy	RD	Character Recognition Task
<b>Gan et al., 2022</b>	135	0.66	L	Dec	Real Word Accuracy	RD	Character Recognition Task
<b>Gan et al., 2022</b>	135	0.64	L	Dec	Real Word Accuracy	RD	Character Recognition Task
<b>Gellert &amp; Elbro, 2017a</b>	171	0.09	C	SSK	Real Word Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Gellert &amp; Elbro, 2017a</b>	171	0.65	C	Dec	Real Word Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Gellert &amp; Elbro, 2017a</b>	171	0.21	L	SSK	Real Word Accuracy, Nonword Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Gellert &amp; Elbro, 2017a</b>	171	0.66	L	Dec	Real Word Accuracy, Nonword Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Gellert &amp; Elbro, 2017b</b>	160	0.46	L	PA	Real Word Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Gellert &amp; Elbro, 2017b</b>	160	0.47	L	PA	Real Word Accuracy, Nonword Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Gellert &amp; Elbro, 2017b</b>	160	0.36	L	PA	Real Word Accuracy, Nonword Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Gellert &amp; Elbro, 2017b</b>	160	0.65	L	Dec	Real Word Accuracy, Nonword Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Gellert &amp; Elbro, 2017b</b>	160	0.76	L	Dec	Real Word Accuracy, Nonword Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Gellert &amp; Elbro, 2017b</b>	160	0.63	L	Dec	Real Word Accuracy, Nonword Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Gellert &amp; Elbro, 2018</b>	158	0.2	C	SSK	Real Word Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Gellert &amp; Elbro, 2018</b>	158	0.47	C	SSK	Real Word Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Gellert &amp; Elbro, 2018</b>	158	0.76	C	Dec	Real Word Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Gellert &amp; Elbro, 2018</b>	158	0.84	C	Dec	Real Word Accuracy, Nonword Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Gellert &amp; Elbro, 2018</b>	158	0.25	L	SSK	Real Word Accuracy, Nonword Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Gellert &amp; Elbro, 2018</b>	158	0.25	L	SSK	Real Word Accuracy, Nonword Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Gellert &amp; Elbro, 2018</b>	158	0.42	L	SSK	Real Word Rate, Nonword Rate	RD	NA
<b>Gellert &amp; Elbro, 2018</b>	158	0.35	L	SSK	Real Word Accuracy, Nonword Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Gellert &amp; Elbro, 2018</b>	158	0.8	L	SSK	Real Word Accuracy, Nonword Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Gellert &amp; Elbro, 2018</b>	158	0.65	L	Dec	Real Word Accuracy, Nonword Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Gellert &amp; Elbro, 2018</b>	158	0.69	L	Dec	Real Word Accuracy, Nonword Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Gellert &amp; Elbro, 2018</b>	158	0.19	L	Dec	Real Word Rate, Nonword Rate	RD	NA
<b>Gellert &amp; Elbro, 2018</b>	158	0.56	L	Dec	Real Word Accuracy, Nonword Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Gellert &amp; Elbro, 2018</b>	158	0.61	L	Dec	Real Word Rate, Nonword Rate	RD	NA
<b>Gillam et al., 2011</b>	64	0.54	C	PA	Nonword Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R/NU
<b>Horbach et al., 2015</b>	193	0.283	L	SSK	Composite-Real Word Accuracy, Real Word Rate, Nonword Accuracy, Nonword	NR	SLRT-II

					Rate, Reading Comprehension		
<b>Horbach et al., 2015</b>	193	0.384	L	Dec	Composite-Real Word Accuracy, Real Word Rate, Nonword Accuracy, Nonword Rate, Reading Comprehension	NR	SLRT-II
<b>Horbach et al., 2015</b>	193	0.212	L	Dec	Composite-Real Word Accuracy, Real Word Rate, Nonword Accuracy, Nonword Rate, Reading Comprehension	NR	SLRT-II
<b>Horbach et al., 2015</b>	75	0.169	C	SSK	Nonword Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Horbach et al., 2015</b>	75	0.279	C	Dec	Nonword Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Horbach et al., 2015</b>	75	0.362	C	Dec	Nonword Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Horbach et al., 2015</b>	75	0.215	L	SSK	Composite-Real Word Accuracy, Real Word Rate, Nonword Accuracy, Nonword Rate, Reading Comprehension	NR	SLRT-II
<b>Horbach et al., 2015</b>	75	0.372	L	Dec	Composite-Real Word Accuracy, Real Word Rate, Nonword Accuracy, Nonword Rate, Reading Comprehension	NR	SLRT-II
<b>Horbach et al., 2015</b>	75	0.258	L	Dec	Composite-Real Word Accuracy, Real Word Rate, Nonword Accuracy, Nonword Rate, Reading Comprehension	NR	SLRT-II
<b>Horbach et al., 2018</b>	17	0.807	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	NR	SLRT-II
<b>Horbach et al., 2018</b>	17	0.855	L	SSK	Nonword Rate	NR	SLRT-II
<b>Law et al., 2018</b>	84	0.044	C	SSK	Real Word Accuracy	NR	EMT
<b>Law et al., 2018</b>	84	0.022	C	SSK	Nonword Accuracy	NR	Klepel
<b>Law et al., 2018</b>	84	0.279	C	Dec	Real Word Accuracy	NR	EMT
<b>Law et al., 2018</b>	84	0.086	C	SSK	Nonword Accuracy	NR	Klepel
<b>Law et al., 2018</b>	84	0.027	C	SSK	Real Word Accuracy	NR	EMT
<b>Law et al., 2018</b>	84	0.315	C	Dec	Nonword Accuracy	NR	Klepel
<b>Liu &amp; Chung, 2021</b>	203	0.19	C	SSK	Real Word Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Liu &amp; Chung, 2021</b>	203	0.18	C	SSK	Real Word Accuracy	RD	NA
<b>Loreti, 2015</b>	10	0.75	C	PA	Real Word Accuracy	NR	WMLSR
<b>Osa Fuentes, 2003</b>	164	0.44	L	PA	Real Word Accuracy	NR	K-ABC
<b>Osa Fuentes, 2003</b>	164	0.44	L	PA	Real Word Rate	NR	TALE
<b>Osa Fuentes, 2003</b>	164	0.64	L	PA	Real Word Accuracy	NR	K-ABC
<b>Osa Fuentes, 2003</b>	164	0.28	L	PA	Real Word Rate	NR	TALE
<b>Osa Fuentes, 2003</b>	164	0.33	L	PA	Real Word Accuracy	NR	K-ABC
<b>Osa Fuentes, 2003</b>	164	0.36	L	PA	Real Word Rate	NR	TALE
<b>Petersen &amp; Gillam, 2015</b>	63	0.24	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	CR	DIBELS

<b>Petersen &amp; Gillam, 2015</b>	63	0.33	L	Dec	Real Word Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R
<b>Petersen &amp; Gillam, 2015</b>	63	0.46	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen &amp; Gillam, 2015</b>	63	0.28	L	Dec	Real Word Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R
<b>Petersen &amp; Gillam, 2015</b>	63	0.39	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen &amp; Gillam, 2015</b>	63	0.51	L	Dec	Real Word Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	320	0.26	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	320	0.26	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	NR	TOWRE
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	320	0.34	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	320	0.37	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	320	0.4	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	NR	TOWRE
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	320	0.32	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	320	0.44	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	320	0.41	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	NR	TOWRE
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	320	0.53	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	320	0.54	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	320	0.32	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	NR	TOWRE
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	320	0.2	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	320	0.37	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	320	0.49	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	NR	TOWRE
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	320	0.5	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	280	0.35	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	280	0.32	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	NR	TOWRE
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	280	0.5	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	280	0.52	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	280	0.56	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	NR	TOWRE
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	280	0.32	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	280	0.21	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	280	0.39	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	NR	TOWRE
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	280	0.52	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	280	0.54	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	280	0.34	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	NR	TOWRE
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	280	0.27	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	280	0.48	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	NR	TOWRE
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	280	0.55	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	NR	TOWRE
<b>Petersen et al., 2016</b>	280	0.57	L	Dec	Nonword Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen et al., 2018</b>	370	0.46	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen et al., 2018</b>	370	0.45	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen et al., 2018</b>	370	0.44	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen et al., 2018</b>	370	0.42	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen et al., 2018</b>	370	0.48	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen et al., 2018</b>	370	0.46	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen et al., 2018</b>	370	0.45	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	CR	DIBELS

<b>Petersen et al., 2018</b>	370	0.42	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen et al., 2018</b>	370	0.41	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen et al., 2018</b>	370	0.4	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen et al., 2018</b>	370	0.38	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen et al., 2018</b>	370	0.38	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen et al., 2018</b>	370	0.5	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen et al., 2018</b>	370	0.49	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen et al., 2018</b>	370	0.48	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Petersen et al., 2018</b>	370	0.44	L	Dec	Real Word Rate	CR	DIBELS
<b>Sittner Bridges, 2009, Study 1</b>	90	0.516	L	PA	Real Word Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R
<b>Sittner Bridges, 2009, Study 1</b>	90	0.477	L	PA	Nonword Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R/NU
<b>Sittner Bridges, 2009, Study 2</b>	96	0.426	L	PA	Real Word Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R
<b>Sittner Bridges, 2009, Study 2</b>	96	0.485	L	PA	Nonword Accuracy	NR	WRMT-R/NU
<b>Spector, 1992</b>	38	0.6	L	PA	Real Word Accuracy	Inventory	San Diego Quick Assessment List
<b>Wyman-Chin, 2018</b>	9	0.44	C	PA	Real Word Accuracy	NR	WMSLR
<b>Wyman-Chin, 2018</b>	9	0.87	C	PA	Real Word Accuracy	NR	WMSLR
<b>Yap, 2018</b>	99	0.56	L	PA	Real Word Accuracy	NR	WJ-III
<b>Yap, 2018</b>	99	0.6	L	PA	Nonword Accuracy	NR	WJ-III
<b>Zumeta, 2010</b>	37	0.59	C	PA	Real Word Rate	RD	Dolch word list

*Note.* *N* = number of participants; *r* = Pearson's correlation coefficient; C = cross-sectional study design; L = longitudinal study design; PA = phonological awareness; Dec = decoding; SSK = sound-symbol knowledge; NR = norm-referenced; CR = criterion-referenced; RD = researcher-developed; 3DM = Differential Diagnostics for Dyslexia; BAS-2 = British Ability Scales-2; DIBELS = Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills; EMT = Ein Minuten Test; HKT-SpLd = Hong Kong Test of Specific Learning Difficulties in Reading and Writing; K-ABC = Kaufman-Assessment Battery for Children; SLRT-II = Salzburger Lese und Rechtschreibtest; SWRT = Single Word Reading Test; TALE = Test de Análisis de la Lecto-escritura; TOWRE = Test of Word Reading Efficiency; WJ-III = Woodcock Johnson-III; WMSLR = Woodcock Muñoz Language Survey Revised; WRAT = Wide Range Achievement Test; WRMT-R/NU = Woodcock Reading Mastery Tests-Revised/Normative Update.

## References

- Aravena, S., Snellings, P., Tijms, J., & van der Molen, M. W. (2013). A lab-controlled simulation of a letter-speech sound binding deficit in dyslexia. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 115(4), 691–707. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2013.03.009>
- Aravena, S., Tijms, J., Snellings, P., & van der Molen, M. W. (2018). Predicting individual differences in reading and spelling skill with artificial script-based letter-speech sound training. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 51(6), 552–564. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219417715407>
- Barker, R. M., & Saunders, K. J. (2020). Validity of a nonspeech dynamic assessment of the alphabetic principle in preschool and school-aged children. *Augmentative and Alternative Communication*, 36(1), 54–62. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07434618.2020.1737965>
- Caffrey, E. (2006). *A comparison of dynamic assessment and progress monitoring in the prediction of reading achievement for students in kindergarten and first grade* [Doctoral dissertation]. Vanderbilt University.
- Cho, E., & Compton, D. L. (2015). Construct and incremental validity of dynamic assessment of decoding within and across domains. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 37, 183–196. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2014.10.004>
- Cho, E., Compton, D. L., Fuchs, D., Fuchs, L. S., & Bouton, B. (2014). Examining the predictive validity of a dynamic assessment of decoding to forecast response to tier 2 intervention. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 47(5), 409–423. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219412466703>
- Cho, E., Compton, D. L., Gilbert, J. K., Steacy, L. M., Collins, A. A., & Lindström, E. R. (2017). Development of first-graders' word reading skills: For whom can dynamic assessment tell us more? *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 50(1), 95–112. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219415599343>
- Chow, B. W. Y. (2014). The differential roles of paired associate learning in Chinese and English word reading abilities in bilingual children. *Reading and Writing*, 27(9), 1657–1672. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-014-9514-3>
- Clayton, F. J., Sears, C., Davis, A., & Hulme, C. (2018). Verbal task demands are key in explaining the relationship between paired-associate learning and reading ability. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 171, 46–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2018.01.004>
- Compton, D. L., Fuchs, D., Fuchs, L. S., Bouton, B., Gilbert, J. K., Barquero, L. A., Cho, E., & Crouch, R. C. (2010). Selecting at-risk first-grade readers for early intervention: Eliminating false positives and exploring the promise of a two-stage gated screening process. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 102(2), 327–340. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018448>
- Coventry, W. L., Byrne, B., Olson, R. K., Corley, R., & Samuelsson, S. (2011). Dynamic and static assessment of phonological awareness in preschool: A behavior-genetic study. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 44(4), 322–329. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219411407862>

- Cunningham, A., & Carroll, J. (2011). Age and schooling effects on early literacy and phoneme awareness. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 109(2), 248–255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2010.12.005>
- Edwards, A. (2020). *Predictor importance in future and concurrent predictions of oral reading fluency* [Course report, Florida State University].
- Fuchs, D., Compton, D. L., Fuchs, L. S., Bouton, B., & Caffrey, E. (2011). The construct and predictive validity of a dynamic assessment of young children learning to read: Implications for RTI frameworks. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 44(4), 339–347. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219411407864>
- Gan, Y., Zhang, J., Kahrabi-Yamato, L., Su, Y., Zhang, J., Jiang, Y., Hui, Y., & Li, H. (2022). The unique predictive value of dynamic assessment of character decoding in reading development of Chinese children from Grades 1 to 2. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 27(3), 215–231. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888438.2022.2143271>
- Gellert, A. S., & Elbro, C. (2017a). Does a dynamic test of phonological awareness predict early reading difficulties? A longitudinal study from kindergarten through Grade 1. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 50(3), 227–237. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219415609185>
- Gellert, A. S., & Elbro, C. (2017b). Try a little bit of teaching: A dynamic assessment of word decoding as a kindergarten predictor of word reading difficulties at the end of Grade 1. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 21(4), 277–291. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888438.2017.1287187>
- Gellert, A. S., & Elbro, C. (2018). Predicting reading disabilities using dynamic assessment of decoding before and after the onset of reading instruction: A longitudinal study from kindergarten through Grade 2. *Annals of Dyslexia*, 68(2), 126–144. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11881-018-0159-9>
- Gillam, S. L., Fargo, J., Foley, B., & Olszewski, A. (2011). A nonverbal phoneme deletion task administered in a dynamic assessment format. *Journal of Communication Disorders*, 44(2), 236–245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcomdis.2010.11.003>
- Horbach, J., Scharke, W., Cröll, J., Heim, S., & Günther, T. (2015). Kindergarteners' performance in a sound–symbol paradigm predicts early reading. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 139, 256–264. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2015.06.007>
- Horbach, J., Weber, K., Opolony, F., Scharke, W., Radach, R., Heim, S., & Günther, T. (2018). Performance in sound–symbol learning predicts reading performance 3 years later. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, Article 1716. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01716>
- Law, J. M., De Vos, A., Vanderauwera, J., Wouters, J., Ghesquière, P., & Vandermosten, M. (2018). Grapheme–phoneme learning in an unknown orthography: A study in typical reading and dyslexic children. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, Article 1393. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01393>
- Liu, C., Chung, K. K. H., Wang, L. C., & Liu, D. (2021). The relationship between paired associate learning and Chinese word reading in kindergarten children. *Journal of Research in Reading*, 44(2), 264–283. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9817.12333>
- Loreti, B. (2015). *Validity of a Spanish nonspeech dynamic assessment of phonological awareness in children from Spanish-speaking backgrounds* [Master's dissertation]. University of South Florida. <https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/etd/5732>
- Osa Fuentes, P. M. D. L. (2003). *Evaluación dinámica del procesamiento fonológico en el inicio lector* [Dynamic evaluation of phonological processing in beginning readers] [Doctoral dissertation]. Universidad de Granada. <https://hdl.handle.net/10481/4425>
- Petersen, D. B., Allen, M. M., & Spencer, T. D. (2016). Predicting reading difficulty in first grade using dynamic assessment of decoding in early kindergarten: A large-scale longitudinal study. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 49(2), 200–215. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219414538518>
- Petersen, D. B., & Gillam, R. B. (2015). Predicting reading ability for bilingual Latino children using dynamic assessment. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 48(1), 3–21. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219413486930>
- Petersen, D. B., Gragg, S. L., & Spencer, T. D. (2018). Predicting reading problems 6 years into the future: Dynamic assessment reduces bias and increases classification accuracy. *Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools*, 49(4), 875–888. [https://doi.org/10.1044/2018\\_LSHSS-DYSLC-18-0021](https://doi.org/10.1044/2018_LSHSS-DYSLC-18-0021)
- Sittner Bridges, M. (2009). *The use of a dynamic screening of phonological awareness to predict reading risk for kindergarten students* [Doctoral dissertation]. University of Kansas. <https://kuscholarworks.ku.edu/handle/1808/6631?show=full>
- Spector, J. E. (1992). Predicting progress in beginning reading: Dynamic assessment of phonemic awareness. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 84(3), 353–363. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.84.3.353>
- Wyman Chin, K. R. (2018). *Validity of a dynamic assessment of phonological awareness in emergent bilingual children* [Master's dissertation]. University of South Florida. <https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/etd/7384>
- Yap, D. F. F. (2018). *The utility of dynamic assessment of phonological awareness for bilingual children in Singapore* [Doctoral dissertation]. San Francisco State University & University of California, Berkeley. <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1kg9j39s>
- Zumeta, R. O. R. (2010). *Enhancing the accuracy of kindergarten screening* [Doctoral dissertation]. Vanderbilt University. <https://etd.library.vanderbilt.edu/etd-08192010-224436>