

Supplemental Material S6. Model output for follow-up comparisons of slopes.

Model 2a.1	Level of PCA lexsem	Session trend	SE	z-ratio	p (vs. 0)¹
Trained	–2	0.25	0.04	6.32	< .001
Follow-up	2	0.15	0.04	3.92	< .001
	Contrast	Estimate	SE	z-ratio	p²
	(–2) 2	0.10	0.05	2.18	.03
Model 2a.2	Level of PCA phono	Session trend	SE	z-ratio	p (vs. 0)
Trained	–2	0.15	0.04	3.70	< .001
Follow-up	2	0.26	0.04	6.62	< .001
	Contrast	Estimate	SE	z-ratio	p
	(–2) 2	–0.12	0.04	–3.03	.003
Model 2a.3	Level of PCA phonotactics	Session trend	SE	z-ratio	p (vs. 0)
Monitored	–2	0.06	0.03	2.33	.02
Follow-up	2	–0.03	0.03	–1.09	.274
	Contrast	Estimate	SE	z-ratio	p
	(–2) 2	0.09	0.05	2.06	.04
Model 2b.1	Level of Semantic Score	Session trend	SE	z-ratio	p (vs. 0)
Trained	mean – 1 SD	0.12	0.04	3.07	.002
Follow-up	mean + 1 SD	0.29	0.04	7.00	< .001
	Contrast	Estimate	SE	z-ratio	p
	(–1 SD) (+1 SD)	–0.17	0.06	–3.13	.002
Model 2b.2	Level of Phonological Score	Session trend	SE	z-ratio	p (vs. 0)
Trained	mean – 1 SD	0.08	0.03	2.63	.009
Follow-up	mean + 1 SD	0.34	0.04	9.24	< .001
	Contrast	Estimate	SE	z-ratio	p
	(–1 SD) (+1 SD)	–0.26	0.05	–5.32	< .001
Model 2b.2	Level of Phonological Score	Session trend	SE	z-ratio	p (vs. 0)
Monitored	mean – 1 SD	–0.04	0.02	–1.97	.049
Follow-up	mean + 1 SD	0.10	0.03	3.88	< .001
	Contrast	Estimate	SE	z-ratio	p
	(–1 SD) (+1 SD)	–0.14	0.04	–3.69	< .001

¹p-value signifies whether trend line is significantly different than 0

²p-value signifies whether trend lines are significantly different from each other